UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Jose Martinez-Ramirez	Case Number: <u>11-09984M-001</u>
present and w	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on August 22, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	LIMPINGS OF LYOT
		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
П	The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal h	istory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	
		aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The (Court incorporates by reference the mat the hearing in this matter, except as n	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.
at the time of	the fleating in the master, and f	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	
2.	No condition or combination of cond	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The	facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court he Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a cop Court.	y of the motion for review/reconsideration	his detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ore the District Counto allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: Au	gust 22, 2011_	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge